

## Lecture 6

- Eckart - Young Mirsky (continued)

↳ Frobenius norm proof

- A wrong proof

Frobenius Norm Property: invariant to orthonormal transformations

$$\|AU\|_F = \|U\bar{A}U^T\|_F = \|A\|_F$$

- want to connect Frobenius norm to singular values:

Ayan attempt:

$$A = U \Sigma V^T$$

$$\|AU\|_F = \|U \Sigma V^T U\|_F =$$

Recall: •  $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\text{trace}(A^T A)}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|AU\|_F &= \sqrt{\text{trace}((U \Sigma V^T U)^T (U \Sigma V^T U))} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(U^T V^T \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T U)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(U^T U \Sigma^2 V^T U)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(V \Sigma^2 V^T)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(V^T U \Sigma^2)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(\Sigma^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Ranade's Approach:

$$\begin{aligned} \|AU\|_F &= \sqrt{\text{trace}((AU)^T AU)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(U^T A^T A U)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(U U^T A^T A)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{trace}(A^T A)} \\ &= \|A\|_F \end{aligned}$$

$$\|A\|_F = \|U \Sigma V^T\|_F$$

$$= \|\Sigma\|_F$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}$$

Theorem:  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$   $A = \sum \Sigma V^\top$   $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \vec{U}_i \vec{V}_i^\top$   $\sigma_i > \dots > \sigma_{m+1} \geq 0$   
 then we have that  $m > n$

(1)  $A_k = \underset{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ B = \text{rank}(k)}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|A - B\|_F$

(2)  $A_k = \underset{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ B = \text{rank}(k)}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|A - B\|_F$

→ proving (2), want  $\|A - B\|_F \geq \|A - A_k\|_F$

↳ no matter what  $B$  I choose, want this inequality to hold (i.e. to have  $\|A - A_k\|_F$  be the minimizer)

→ evaluate  $\|A - A_k\|_F$

$$\|A - A_k\|_F = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \vec{U}_i \vec{V}_i^\top - \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \vec{U}_i \vec{V}_i^\top \right\|_F$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i \vec{U}_i \vec{V}_i^\top \right\|_F$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2}$$

(proved above)

↳ Frobenius norm of a matrix

= the square root of the sum of its singular values

↳ notation - just singular values of  $A$

→ compare to the singular values  $\Rightarrow$  Frob. norm

of  $A - B$

$\text{wts : } \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2(A - B) \geq \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2(A)$	$\sigma_i(A - B) \geq \sigma_{k+1}(A)$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{* this is the most important thing to establish bc it shows this which implies this} \\ \text{* this} \end{array} \right.$
$\text{all the singular values} \downarrow$	$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2(A - B) \geq \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2(A)$	

what we know:

- $\text{rank}(K)$
- Frobenius norm connected to singular values
- spectral norm of a matrix is the max singular value of the matrix

Ayah attempt

$\sigma_{k+i}(A) \rightarrow$  largest singular value of  $A$  after the first  $(k+i-1)$  are removed

$\Rightarrow (k+i)^{\text{th}}$  largest s.v. of  $A$

$$= \|A - A_{k+i-1}\|_2 \quad A_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^\top$$

$$\|A - A_{k+i-1}\| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(A - A_{k+i-1})}$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i \right\| \quad \Rightarrow \sigma_i = \sigma_{\max}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Denote  $A - B = C$

$$\sigma_i(A - B) = \sigma_i(C) = \|C - C_{i-1}\|_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Consider top  $(i-1)$  SV's. Remove them.  
The spectral norm of the remaining matrix must be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  singular value of  $C$ .

$\hookrightarrow$  use the fact that the two norm is a norm  $\Rightarrow$  connect it back to  $A$

$$\sigma_i(A - B) = \|C - C_{i-1}\|_2 + \|B - B_k\|_2$$

$\hookrightarrow$  let's think about  $B$  ( $\text{rk}(K)$ )

$$\sigma_{k+1}(B) = 0 \quad (\text{by def of } \text{rk}(K))$$

$$\|B - B_k\|_2 = 0$$

$\hookrightarrow$  triangle inequality

$$C_i = \sum_{l=1}^i \sigma_l(C) \vec{u}_l(C) \vec{v}_l(C)$$

$$\sigma_i(A - B) = \|C - C_{i-1}\|_2 + \|B - B_k\|_2$$

$\hookrightarrow$  know that  $R_K(B_K) = K$   $R_K(C_{i-1}) \subseteq i-1$  (bc  $C$  is adyad)

Define  $D = C_{i-1} + B_k$

$$r \kappa(D) \leq r \kappa(B_K) + r \kappa(C_{i-1}) = i-1 + K$$

$$\sigma_i (A - B) \geq \| A - (C_i + B_k) \|_2$$

$$= \| A - D \|_2$$

→ Consider the optimization problem

$$\arg \min \|A - D\|_2, \quad \text{rk}(D) \leq i + k - 1$$

$$\arg \min \|A - D\| = A_{K+i-1}$$

minimum value of  
this is given by  
 $\sigma_{k+i}(A)$  (the spectral  
norm properties)

$$\sigma_i(A - B) \geq \sigma_{k+i}(A)$$

$$\min ||A - D|| = \sigma_{\text{opt}}(A)$$

## A Wrong Proof:

$$\min_{r \in C(B)} \|A - B\|_F = \min_{r \in C(B)} \|U \Sigma V^T - B\|_F$$

$$= \min \|\Sigma - U^T B V\|_F$$

Proof by  
lots of  
talking